

## JASMONTAITE Inga

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 31 October 2014 16:24  
**To:** Consultation-OI-10-2014  
**Subject:** [EOWEB] TTIP Consultation  
**Attachments:** TTIP consultation Eur ombudsman - final.doc

**Categories:** To be registered according to Rosita; submitted to AR on 03.11.2014

### Sender

---

**Sender** Anne-Marie Mineur [REDACTED]  
**To** Public consultation OI/10/2014  
**Date** Friday, October 31, 2014 4:23:44 PM CET

### Your data

---

#### Part 1 - Contact information

<b>First name</b>	Anne-Marie
<b>Surname</b>	Mineur
<b>Gender</b>	Female
<b>E-mail address</b>	[REDACTED]

Language you would like to receive an answer in en - English

#### Part 2 - Data

**To** Public consultation OI/10/2014  
**Subject** TTIP Consultation

## TTIP Consultation

---

*1. Please give us your views on what concrete measures the Commission could take to make the TTIP negotiations more transparent.*

#### Content

- Granting access to the reading room - where all documents can be read - by all stakeholders involved. By stakeholders in particular: European parliamentarians (as understood in Lisbon Treaty Article 218, TFEU), civil society organisations and trade unions.
- Setting up of an independent advisory council that includes all relevant stakeholders with the goal of informing them on all aspects of the negotiations.

- No private round table negotiation with preferential stakeholders

*2. Please provide examples of best practice that you have encountered in this area that you believe could be applied throughout the Commission.*

On its own initiative the European Parliament (2013/2155 INI) has adopted a resolution recalling:

that the Treaty of Lisbon (Article 15 TFEU) lays down the fundamental right of access to documents, Members underlined that **transparency should be a general principle** and that the widest possible public access to documents was necessary to:

- ○ effectively allow citizens and civil society to comment on all aspects of EU activity;
- ○ enhance public trust in the European institutions by allowing citizens to be informed and to participate in the Union's decision-making process and, in this way, contribute to making the EU more democratic.

I put this resolution forward as a signal from the European Parliament that its representatives are in agreement that this is regarded as the best practice concerning access to documents.

*3. Please explain how, in your view, greater transparency might affect the outcome negotiations.*

Considering very few people in National and European Parliaments are informed about the content of the negotiations they are not able to judge fairly, as representatives of the people, if the negotiations are focussed on the interests of the people. In my view, trade agreements should have the benefit of all people as its goal. In order to achieve that, the people, through their elected and chosen representatives (parliamentarians and civil society actors) should be informed about the possible effects of these agreements on their lives and living environment. Moreover, they should not only be informed, but they should have the ultimate say. Therefore, I argue for an EU-wide referendum.

## TTIP Consultation

*1. Please give us your views on what concrete measures the Commission could take to make the TTIP negotiations more transparent.*

- Granting access to the reading room - where all documents can be read - by all stakeholders involved. By stakeholders in particular: European parliamentarians (as understood in Lisbon Treaty Article 218, TFEU), civil society organisations and trade unions.
- Setting up of an independent advisory council that includes all relevant stakeholders with the goal of informing them on all aspects of the negotiations.
- No private round table negotiation with preferential stakeholders

*2. Please provide examples of best practice that you have encountered in this area that you believe could be applied throughout the Commission.*

On its own initiative the European Parliament (2013/2155 INI) has adopted a resolution recalling:

that the Treaty of Lisbon (Article 15 TFEU) lays down the fundamental right of access to documents, Members underlined that **transparency should be a general principle** and that the widest possible public access to documents was necessary to:

- effectively allow citizens and civil society to comment on all aspects of EU activity;
- enhance public trust in the European institutions by allowing citizens to be informed and to participate in the Union's decision-making process and, in this way, contribute to making the EU more democratic.

I put this resolution forward as a signal from the European Parliament that its representatives are in agreement that this is regarded as the best practice concerning access to documents.

*3. Please explain how, in your view, greater transparency might affect the outcome negotiations.*

Considering very few people in National and European Parliaments are informed about the content of the negotiations they are not able to judge fairly, as representatives of the people, if the negotiations are focussed on the interests of the people. In my view, trade agreements should have the benefit of all people as its goal. In order to achieve that, the people, through their elected and chosen representatives (parliamentarians and civil society actors) should be informed about the possible effects of these agreements on their lives and living environment. Moreover, they should not only be informed, but they should have the ultimate say. Therefore, I argue for an EU-wide referendum.