

## **Alleged conflict of interest on EESC committee as regards Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity**

Case opened

**Case 500/2015/PL - Opened on 12/05/2015 - Recommendation on 19/09/2016 - Decision on 01/06/2017 - Institution concerned** European Economic and Social Committee ( Recommendation agreed by the institution ) |

**Case 561/2015/PL - Opened on 12/05/2015 - Recommendation on 19/09/2016 - Decision on 02/06/2017 - Institution concerned** European Economic and Social Committee ( Recommendation agreed by the institution ) |

**Case 577/2015/PL - Opened on 12/05/2015 - Recommendation on 19/09/2016 - Decision on 01/06/2017 - Institution concerned** European Economic and Social Committee ( Recommendation agreed by the institution ) |

**Case 570/2015/PL - Opened on 12/05/2015 - Recommendation on 19/09/2016 - Decision on 01/06/2017 - Institution concerned** European Economic and Social Committee ( Recommendation agreed by the institution ) |

**Case 619/2015/PL - Opened on 12/05/2015 - Recommendation on 19/09/2016 - Decision on 01/06/2017 - Institution concerned** European Economic and Social Committee ( Recommendation agreed by the institution ) |

**Case 635/2015/PL - Opened on 12/05/2015 - Recommendation on 19/09/2016 - Decision on 01/06/2017 - Institution concerned** European Economic and Social Committee ( Recommendation agreed by the institution ) |

**Case 650/2015/PL - Opened on 12/05/2015 - Recommendation on 19/09/2016 - Decision on 01/06/2017 - Institution concerned** European Economic and Social Committee ( Recommendation agreed by the institution ) |

## **Allegation(s)**

1) The EESC does not properly examine issues of potential conflict of interest of its members, and failed to act when faced with an alleged conflict of interest of one of its members in the



present case.

2) The EESC failed to follow the established procedure when adopting its opinion on "Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity".

## **Claim(s)**

- 1) The EESC should, in general, properly deal with potential conflict of interest of its members and, in particular, address the matter of the alleged conflict of interest of one of its members.
- 2) The EESC should annul the vote held on Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity.